

**University of Westminster**  
**Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech**  
**including**  
**External Events Booking Process**

Approved: September 2018

Review: September 2019

## **Contents**

### **Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech**

1. Introduction
2. Legal Background
3. Ensuring Academic Freedom
4. Events at the University
5. No Platform Policy
6. Breaches of the Code
7. Review and Amendment of the Code

### **External Events Booking Process**

1. Overview
2. Speaker Assessment Criteria
3. Booking Process
4. External Speaker Approval Process
5. Appealing a Rejection
6. Appealing an Approval
7. External Speakers Group
8. Representations to the Vice-Chancellor
9. Publication

Appendix A – Speaker Assessment Criteria

Appendix B – Appealing a Decision

## **Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech**

### **1. Introduction**

- 1.1 The University of Westminster supports the principle of freedom of speech and expression within the law as one of the fundamental principles upon which a University is founded. It also has regard to the need to ensure that students and staff have freedom to question, test and to put forward new ideas and controversial opinions, without placing themselves at any risk.
- 1.2 Every person employed at the University and Students' Union and every student enrolling at the University should be aware that joining the University community involves obligations and responsibilities which are consistent with the above principle and the law.
- 1.3 The University has adopted this Code of Practice to ensure freedom of speech within the law is secured for students, employees and other members of the University community. The Code's obligations and rights apply to:
  - a. all staff and governors of the University;
  - b. all students of the University;
  - c. the Students' Union and its constituent societies, clubs and associations, employees and sabbatical officers of the Students' Union;
  - d. any visiting or guest lecturers invited by the University;
  - e. any alumnus invited by the University;
  - f. honorary doctors and fellows;
  - g. any person or organisation wishing to hire premises controlled by the University for an event.

### **2. Legal Background**

- 2.1 Section 43 of the Education (No 2) Act 1986 states that every individual and body of persons concerned in the government of universities shall take such steps as are reasonably practicable to ensure that freedom of speech within the law is secured for members, students and employees of the establishment and for visiting speakers.
- 2.2 This includes in particular the duty to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, that the use of any premises of the establishment is not denied to any individual or body of persons on any ground connected with:
  - a) the beliefs or views of that individual or of any member of that body; or
  - b) the policy or objectives of that body.
- 2.3 The Act requires that the University's governing body – the Court of Governors shall, with a view to facilitating the discharge of this duty issue and keep up to date a code of practice setting out:
  - a) the procedures to be followed by members, students and employees of the establishment in connection with the organisation:

- i. of meetings which are to be held on premises of the establishment and which fall within any class of meeting specified in the code; and
    - ii. of other activities which are to take place on those premises and which fall within any class of activity so specified; and
  - b) the conduct required of such persons in connection with any such meeting or activity.
- 2.4 Additionally, the Act states that every individual and body of persons concerned in the government of the University shall take such steps as are reasonably practicable (including where appropriate the initiation of disciplinary measures) to secure that the requirements of the code of practice for the University are complied with.
- 2.5 Article 10 of the Human Rights Act states that everyone has the right to freedom of expression. This right shall include freedom to hold opinions and to receive and impart information and ideas without interference by public authority and regardless of frontiers. However the Act also recognises duties to this freedom 'as are prescribed by law and are necessary in a democratic society.'

Freedom of speech cannot include:

- Threatening, abusive or insulting words or behaviour intending or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress, or cause a breach of the peace.
  - Incitement to racial or religious hatred or incitement to terrorism.
- 2.6 The Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015 means the University must "have due regard to the need to prevent people from being drawn into terrorism" (Section 26). The University must also "have particular regard to the duty to ensure freedom of speech" and "to the importance of academic freedom" (Section 31). Under Section 29, we must "have regard to any such guidance in carrying out that duty."
  - 2.7 The University is complying with this duty in all its aspects, which in practice means striking a fine balance between concerns around extremism and those around censorship. The work in promoting British values and the University's values, and its Single Equality Policy all aim to positively address this challenge.

### **3. Ensuring Academic Freedom**

- 3.1 The principle of freedom of speech set out in this Code shall extend to the performance by all staff of their duties and responsibilities and to any visiting or guest lecturer invited by the University. It shall also extend to students presenting or exhibiting work produced during the course of their studies with the University.
- 3.2 Any person or body to which this Code applies shall not take any action (other than by reasonable and peaceful persuasion) to prevent the holding or continuation of any lecture, tutorial, exhibition, or other academic activity

because of the views held or expressed or which are reasonably likely to be expressed, (whether or not within the University) by the lecturer or tutor or student concerned, except as provided for in paragraph 4.3.

- 3.3 Any person or body to whom this Code applies shall not take any action (other than reasonable and peaceful persuasion) to prevent any student or group of students from attending any academic activity required by, or properly associated with, the course for which they are enrolled because of the views or beliefs held or lawfully expressed (whether or not within the University) by that student or because of the reasonable likelihood that such views will be expressed.

#### **4. Events at the University**

- 4.1 Where any person or body subject to the obligations of this Code wishes to hold any event for the expression of any views or beliefs held or lawfully expressed on premises controlled by the University, consent shall not be unreasonably refused.
- 4.2 Save for the provisions in paragraph 4.3, it shall not be a reasonable ground of refusal of permission or facilities for any event that the views likely to be expressed there may be controversial or contrary to any policy of the University, the Students' Union, or Central or Local Government, provided that the expression of such views is lawful. Expression of opinions which are annoying, offensive, in bad taste, or ill-mannered is not automatically unlawful and should not be the sole ground for refusal unless this would result in the University failing in its wider legal duties (for example, to promote equality of opportunity and good relations between persons of different racial groups). The fact that disorder may result at any event is not of itself a ground for refusal of permission or facilities unless the creation of such disorder is the intention of the organisers of, or speakers at, any such event or public safety and order might be jeopardised.
- 4.3 It shall be accepted as reasonable to refuse consent, or withhold facilities for any event to which this Code applies where the University reasonably believes (from the nature of the speakers or from similar events in the past whether held at the University or otherwise) that:
- a) the views likely to be expressed by any speaker are contrary to the law;
  - b) the intention of any speaker is likely to be to incite breaches of the law or is to intend breaches of the peace to occur;
  - c) the views likely to be expressed by any speaker are for the promotion of any illegal organisation or purpose;
  - d) the views likely to be expressed by any speaker are for the promotion of any organisation subject to the University's "No Platform" policy as amended from time to time;

e) it is in the interests of public safety, the prevention of disorder or crime or the protection of those persons lawfully on premises under the control of the University, that the event does not take place.

- 4.4 By 'speaker' this Code means any organiser or other person invited to address the meeting other than members of any audience at that meeting.
- 4.5 Subject to paragraph 6, where the University is reasonably satisfied that the otherwise lawful expression of views at any event is likely to give rise to disorder, the University shall consider what steps it is necessary to take to ensure:
- a) the safety of all persons;
  - b) the maintenance of order; and
  - c) the security of premises controlled by the University.
- 4.6 The University may impose such conditions and requirements upon the organisers as are reasonably necessary in all the circumstances. These may include, but are not limited to, requirements as to provision of stewards, variation of location and time, and whether the event shall be open to the public at large.
- 4.7 Where the University concludes that imposing conditions would not be sufficient to prevent serious disorder within premises subject to their control, it may decline to permit such events to be held. Before doing so, however, the University will generally consult the police with a view to establishing whether the serious disorder can be prevented or otherwise dealt with appropriately by attendance of police officers or alternative conditions.
- 4.8 Where any person or body to whom this Code applies is engaged in the organisation of any event on premises controlled by the University at which it is reasonably suspected that disorder is likely to occur, the organiser shall normally give the Chief Operating Officer, or nominee, not less than 28 days' notice in writing of such a proposed event. The Chief Operating Officer, or nominee, following consultation with the Provost or appropriate Deputy Vice-Chancellor, shall inform the person or body organising the event in writing within seven days of the date of the notice whether the meeting may go ahead.
- 4.9 If any person or organisation believes that the actions of the Chief Operating Officer, or nominee, in refusing permission or facilities for the holding of any event, or the actions of the University in imposing conditions are unreasonable, it shall have a right to make representations. Any representation shall be made to the Vice-Chancellor within seven days of the date of the letter confirming the original decision. The Vice-Chancellor shall consider such representations and within seven days shall confirm in writing to the relevant person or organisation whether the original decision is to be upheld or varied. If the event organiser has been unable, for legitimate reasons, to comply with the normal requirement to provide 28 days' notice to the Chief Operating Officer, or nominee, the Vice-Chancellor shall, at his/her absolute discretion, have the right to vary this

procedure in order to ensure that a final decision is provided to the organiser prior to the date of the meeting.

- 4.10 Any person or body wishing to hold an event on premises controlled by the University must complete the relevant room booking form and provide further details of the event if required. This requirement applies to both internal and external bookings for any venue controlled by the University. It will be a mandatory requirement that the organisers of any event indicate they accept the terms of this Code when making a venue booking.
- 4.11 All event organisers shall ensure proper security and organisation (including stewarding and charring) of the event for the purpose of protecting the right of freedom of speech. Whilst the heckling of speakers is a right not to be disturbed, it shall be contrary to the Code to seek, by systematic or organised heckling or disruption of such activity, to prevent the lawful expression of views.
- 4.12 It shall be contrary to this Code for any person or body subject to the Code to organise, engage in or become associated with, any conduct with the intention of preventing (other than by reasonable and peaceful persuasion) any event subject to this Code from being held or from continuing.
- 4.13 The organisers of the event shall have a duty so far as is reasonably practicable to ensure that both the audience and the speaker act in accordance with the law during the event. In the case of unlawful conduct, the event organiser shall give appropriate warnings and, in the case of continuing misconduct, the event organiser shall require the withdrawal or removal by the stewards or if necessary by the police of the person(s) concerned.
- 4.14 It shall be the responsibility of the organisers of the event to ensure that no articles or objects shall be taken inside the venue where the event is being held in circumstances, which are likely to lead to injury, damage or breach of the law.
- 4.15 The Vice-Chancellor as Chief Executive Officer with ultimate responsibility for the safety of students, staff, visitors and other stakeholders, as well as the reputational concerns of the institution, reserves the right to refuse any event using University facilities at any time, including after an event has begun.

## **5. No Platform Policy**

- 5.1 The University will offer no platform to those who are intolerant of the free speech of others, both generally and where it includes the denial of the right to hold or express an opposing opinion. This also includes those who engage in the active prevention of permitting others to speak, such as the interruption - violent or otherwise - of meetings. Those who offer no platform to others, without any reasonable or justifiable basis for doing so, should not benefit from the freedom to speak at the University.
- 5.2 The University will offer no platform to those who advocate or engage in violence in the furtherance of their political, religious, philosophical and/or other beliefs.

- 5.3 The University will offer no platform to those who hold and disseminate views which are repugnant to the maintenance of liberty under the rule of law in the UK or who advocate or engage in the violent overthrow of democracy in the UK or elsewhere.
- 5.4 The University reserves to itself the right to debar speakers or organisations from the University where the University reasonably believes that their presence on University property is not conducive to the good order of the University, or where it would offend the principles of scholarly inquiry, or where it would put at risk the safety of students, staff or the general public. The University further reserves the right to list the names of individuals or groups which it debars either under this general reserved right or in accordance with the principles above.
- 5.5 The University will maintain a list of organisations considered by the University to be within the scope of the provisions in 5.1 – 5.4. Any amendments made to the list from time to time by the University shall be deemed as included, or excluded as appropriate with effect from the date that the amendment is made.

## **6. Breaches of the Code**

- 6.1 Any breach of the provisions of this Code shall be punishable under the Disciplinary Procedures of the University where applicable.
- 6.2 It shall be the duty of all those subject to the Code to assist the University in upholding the rights of freedom of speech set out in this Code.
- 6.3 Where a breach of this Code occurs, it shall be a duty of all to whom this Code applies to take all reasonable steps to secure the identification of persons involved in that breach.
- 6.4 Where breaches of the criminal law occur, the University shall, where appropriate, assist the Police and the Crown Prosecution Service. In respect of any criminal charges, the University, shall not, unless the Vice-Chancellor determines otherwise, proceed with any disciplinary proceedings in respect of the same matters, until the conclusion on any ongoing criminal proceedings.

## **7. Review and Amendment of the Code**

- 7.1 Section 43(3) of the Education (No.2) Act 1986 requires that the Court of Governors shall issue, and keep up to date, a Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech. In order to comply with this duty, the Court of Governors will review formally the operation of the Code on an annual basis.

**University of Westminster**  
**External Event Booking Process**

**1. Overview**

- 1.1 The University of Westminster is committed to maintaining a culture of open debate in keeping with the principles and legal basis set out in the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech.
- 1.2 The External Event Booking Process is intended as a simple, accessible and equitable process for all students and student societies planning to hold an event and invite external speakers.
- 1.3 Any student or student society wishing to hold an event at any of the University of Westminster facilities, is required to complete the following process.

**2. Speaker Assessment Criteria**

- 2.1 All event booking requests submitted by students or student societies which include external speakers, are subject to the Speaker Assessment process.
- 2.2 Speakers are assessed against paragraph 4.3 and 5 of the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech, which inform the Speaker Assessment Criteria document found in Appendix A.
- 2.3 Speakers may be assessed as Low, Mid or High risk.
- 2.4 An assessment of 'High-Risk' does not automatically mean a speaker will not be approved.

**3. Booking Process**

- 3.1 Societies submit event booking requests using the University of Westminster Students' Union (UWSU) online booking system, found [here](#)<sup>1</sup>.
- 3.2 All requests must be submitted 14 days before the planned event and the form must be completed in full to enable a booking to proceed.
- 3.3 Provided all details have been provided and a suitably sized room is available on the requested date, submission of a booking request places a provisional hold on a room.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.uwsu.com/room-bookings/>

- 3.4 Events which do not feature external speakers and are planned in keeping with the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech and Student Code of Conduct, are ordinarily approved without further checks.
- 3.5 On receipt of a booking request for an event featuring an external speaker, the Student Opportunities Manager makes an assessment of risk using the External Speaker Assessment criteria (APPENDIX A).
- 3.6 The initial assessment process is completed in 5 days of receipt of a fully completed event booking request.

#### **4. External Speaker Approval Process**

##### Low-Mid Risk Events

- 4.1 Events featuring speakers assessed as low-mid risk (levels 1-2 on the speaker assessment criteria) can be approved by the Student Opportunities Manager.
- 4.2 Approval notifications are emailed to the requestor and the room booking is confirmed.

##### Mid-High Risk Events

- 4.3 Events featuring speakers assessed as Mid-High level risk (levels 2-3 on the speaker assessment criteria) are referred to the Speaker Approval Committee for further review. The committee does not meet according to a schedule; it meets on an ad-hoc basis in response to referred events.
- 4.4 The Student Opportunities Manager may at this time take the opportunity to directly contact the proposed speaker to ascertain further details which can be provided to the Speaker Approval Committee.
- 4.5 The Speaker Approval Committee is formed of all current sabbatical officers except for the SU President who is a member of the External Events Group (see 6.1), and a relevant senior member of the Estates and Facilities department.
- 4.6 The Speaker Approval Committee, using the Speaker Assessment Criteria and principles of the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech, will make a further assessment of the proposed speaker and event.
- 4.7 Where a speaker is subsequently assessed to be low to mid risk, the Speaker Approval Committee notifies the Student Opportunities Manager of their decision and this is communicated in accordance with paragraph 4.2.
- 4.8 Should the Approval Committee make an assessment of Mid to High risk a decision can be made to approve the event speaker with appropriate mitigations in place (e.g. increased security). Alternatively the Approval Committee may choose not to approve a speaker, providing the appropriate student/student society with a full explanation of the decision.

4.9 Decisions not to approve a speaker should be communicated to the requesting student/student society within 3 days.

## **5. Appealing a Rejection**

5.1 Requesting students/student societies have the right to submit an appeal against the decision not to approve a speaker/event.

5.2 Appeals can be submitted on the following grounds:

- a. the decision was not made in accordance with the published process.
- b. the decision was not made in accordance with the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech
- c. relevant additional information in support of the speaker and event, not available at the time of making the decision, can now be submitted.

5.3 When submitting an appeal the student/student society has the option to represent their appeal in person.

5.4 All appeals must be submitted to the External Speakers Group using Appendix B within 5 days of receiving the Approval Committee's decision to reject.

5.5 Accepted appeals will be heard by the External Speakers Group within 5 working days of receipt.

## **6. Appealing an Approval**

6.1 In addition to the right of requesting students/student societies to appeal a rejection decision, all students, staff and relevant stakeholders have the ability to appeal against an approval.

6.2 Appeals against a decision to approve an event must be submitted to the External Speakers Group using Appendix B within 5 days of publication of the event approval (see 9.1).

6.3 Appeals may only be submitted on the following grounds:

- a. the decision was not made in accordance with the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech
- b. relevant additional information regarding a speaker or event, not available at the time of making the decision, can now be submitted.

6.4 Accepted appeals will be heard by the External Speakers Group within 10 working days of receipt.

## **7. External Speakers Group**

7.1 The External Speakers Group is the University's formal appeals group, and is formed of:

- University Chief Operating Officer/Nominee (co-chair)
- University of Westminster Students' Union President (co-chair)
- Director of Estates, Planning and Services/Nominee
- Director of Communications/Nominee

7.2 In addition to the core membership, the UWSU Head of Student Voice & Engagement along with relevant Deans, may be invited to assist in making decisions.

7.3 The remit of the External Speakers Group is limited to the appeals process.

## **8. Representations to the Vice-Chancellor**

8.1 Upon completion of the appeals process, students/student societies who take issue with the decision of the External Speakers Group are able to submit final representations to the Vice-Chancellor as set out in paragraph 4.9 of the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech.

## **9. Publication**

9.1 All assessments approved by the Student Opportunities Manager are published online at the time of notifying the relevant student/student society, giving all stakeholders ample time to submit appeals.

9.2 All rejection decisions are published quarterly, providing details of the reasons for the rejection whilst maintaining the personal privacy of all parties.

## **Appendix A**

### **Speaker Assessment Criteria**

The following is intended as an aid to paragraph 4.3 and 5 of the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech. Used in conjunction, the assessor is requested to assign a ranking of Low, Mid or High risk. Assessors may struggle to agree on a single category in which case a ranking of Low-Mid or Mid-High may be assigned.

#### **A. Values and Views**

**1. Low:**

- has a comprehensive understanding and ability to promote both UK and University of Westminster values.
- encourages critical thinking, development of new ideas.
- accepts the principles of the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech.

**2. Mid:**

- has limited understanding of UK and University of Westminster values whilst expressing views and ideas which question those values.
- expresses views which may undermine the ability of others to engage.
- takes issue with being subject to the principles of the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech.

**3. High:**

- explicitly criticises UK and University of Westminster values and expresses views or beliefs which are in direct opposition.
- part of an organised campaign or known activism linked to current affairs which seeks to recruit to an ideology or grand strategy in opposition to UK and University of Westminster values.
- refuses to engage with the principles of the Code of Practice on Freedom of Speech.

**Appendix B**  
**Appealing a Decision**

Please submit the following information via email to: [A.Morwood@westminster.ac.uk](mailto:A.Morwood@westminster.ac.uk)

Name:

Student Number

Society:

Appealing: Decision to Reject / Decision to Approve (delete as appropriate).

Event reference number:

Grounds for Appeal: